```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
2
                    FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                       ) No. 05-60008-2-HO
4
                    Plaintiff,
5
                                       ) April 1, 2010
       V.
     PIROUZ SEDAGHATY, et al.,
6
                                       ) Eugene, Oregon
7
                     Defendants.
8
9
                    TRANSCRIPT OF ORAL ARGUMENT
10
              BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL R. HOGAN
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
11
12
13
                                -:-
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
                     Deborah Wilhelm, CSR, RPR
                          Court Reporter
24
                           P.O. Box 1504
                         Eugene, OR 97440
25
                           (541) 431-4113
```

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL									
2										
3	FOR	THE	PLAINTIFF:	CHRISTOPHER L. CARDANI United States Attorney's Office						
4				405 E. 8th Avenue, Suite 2400 Eugene, OR 97401						
5				(541) 465-6771						
6				chris.cardani@usdoj.gov						
7				CHARLES F. GORDER, JR. United States Attorney's Office						
8				1000 S.W. Third Avenue, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97204-2902 (503) 727-1021						
9										
10	FOR	THE	DEFENDANT:	LAWRENCE H. MATASAR Lawrence Matasar, P.C.						
11				621 S.W. Morrison Street Suite 1025						
12				Portland, OR 97205 (503) 222-9830						
13				larry@pdxlaw.com						
14				STEVEN T. WAX Federal Public Defender						
15				101 S.W. Main Street, Suite 1700 Portland, OR 97204						
16				(503) 326-2123 steve wax@fd.org						
17				<u>_</u>						
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										

1		INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS									
2	FOR THE	PLAINTIFF:		Cross	ReD	ReX					
3		Anderson		22							
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
25											
4 J											

(Thursday, April 1, 2010; 9:54 a.m.) 1 2 PROCEEDINGS THE CLERK: Now is the time set for the matter 3 of the United States of America versus Pirouz Sedaghaty, 4 Case Number 05-60008, time set for oral argument on 5 6 Motion Number 181 to suppress evidence, and Motion 7 Number 205 for additional specification of basis for suppression based on dissemination of information to 8 Russian FSB and request for discovery. 9 10 MR. GORDER: Good morning, Charles Gorder for 11 the United States with Chris Cardani. 12 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. I just put 13 a new hearing aid battery in. That does not mean I'm 14 seeking long arguments. 15 I read the supplemental memorandums just filed 16 by the defendant this morning. But, actually, I had 17 the -- Judge Walker's opinion last night. 18 MR. CARDANI: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MR. CARDANI: I think we need some direction from the court in terms of what the court's expectations are for today's hearing. By listening to the docket and our understanding, what this is is a continuation of a lengthy hearing we had last year. And that was when Colleen Anderson testified at some length about the

2.5

conditions in which the search warrant was obtained, the warrant was executed, the computers were taken, and subsequently analyzed.

Extensive cross-examination was done. And there came a point where the defense tried to ask questions that would have gotten into some classified information. We objected because the setting was inappropriate. And I think it was on that basis that the court did not conclude the hearing and issue its opinion. But I think that we had fully resolved all of the garden-variety issues that we needed to in that hearing.

After that, the case of CDT was decided by the Ninth Circuit. So that led to a series of briefings, and we have fully briefed that.

And the defense filed a supplemental motion alleging that Colleen Anderson presented inconsistent -- presented false testimony, in essence, during the suppression hearing. We responded to that.

She did another affidavit that we're going to tender to the court right now. But I think that -- and there were a few other matters addressed in that. But in terms of the motion to suppress itself, CR 181, that has led to a series of subsequent motions and responses, I think we've largely had the testimony that the court

needs to make its decision.

But if the court needs to -- we don't think that a classified hearing is necessary. But, again, if the court thinks that there is something that's not been covered that needs a classified hearing, then we can do our best to get through that.

In addition, Mr. Wax sent me and Mr. Gorder an e-mail, I believe it was yesterday, asking us to have two other witnesses available. Mr. Smith from the IRS, and Mr. Christianson from the IRS. Those witnesses were not subpoenaed.

(Reporter interruption.)

MR. CARDANI: Judge, these two witnesses, there has been no real notice that we needed these witnesses here. We don't think that we need to present testimony on them, but they disagree. And if the court wants to hear their testimony, Mr. Christianson is on the East Coast. And nobody notified us that we needed to have him here. We could make arrangements for telephone testimony, if we needed to. We haven't prepared him for testimony. And then Mr. Smith is a local agent in Bend, but he's on a search warrant this morning doing current -- the computer work on a search warrant in Idaho. We contacted him last night, given this recent e-mail, and he could be available by phone this

2.5

afternoon. We haven't prepped him. But I don't want to run afoul of the court's desires here. If we -- we all would like to get this matter resolved, but we keep getting these requests which generate an inquiry on our part on how far do we need to go to get this matter concluded. We don't want to run afoul of the court's desires.

So we have Mister -- we have Agent Carroll here from Medford, if something comes up requiring his testimony. We have Agent Anderson to adopt her new affidavit.

THE COURT: No, go ahead.

MR. CARDANI: But in terms of the actual search warrant and the CDT implications, Judge, we think the briefing is done, and no real testimony is necessary.

Then there is another motion that's -- the only other motion that is formally up for grabs right now on the docket is the supplemental motion to suppress based on the information that was disclosed by the government to the Russians. That matter has been fully briefed and that's, I think, ready to be determined.

There have been a number of other things addressed to the court involving evidentiary issues for Saudi Arabia or for Egypt. Those matters have been briefed. We're here to answer questions on that. But

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the basic inquiry we have for the court is what the court's desires are for today in terms of what it wants to get these matters resolved. THE COURT: All right. I am going to hear from defense counsel about the request for a closed hearing, but in -- and I haven't reread the transcript of the hearing before. But as I've gone over this material again to get fresh on it, I am satisfied that I have a record adequate to make a decision. But there was one question I had that maybe you can remind me from the record or if you had something to supplement on this, I might give you the opportunity to do it, and that's the search term Timimi. What -- Ms. Anderson did testify that none of the information she had came from classified sources. But I'd like you to remind me where that term came from, all right? And so that -- as we go through this hearing this morning, I'm interested in that. Mr. Wax? MR. WAX: Judge, unfortunately, there is one issue of fact with which we disagree with what Mr. Cardani just said. THE COURT: All right.

MR. WAX: In the pleading that we filed on October 15th, we specifically stated that further

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
hearing is required in order to question Mr. Smith and
Ms. Anderson about their knowledge regarding the
chronology and extent of the computer review.
         You may recall that at the hearing in July, we
did not have available to us any reports from either
Mr. Smith or Mr. Christianson. The government provided
those reports from their computer people to us only
after that portion of the hearing had been concluded.
         Upon review of those reports and comparison of
those reports with Ms. Anderson's testimony at the
hearing in July, we filed the supplemental pleading in
October pointing out what we believe to be
inconsistencies, and the need for testimony. At that
point, I identified Mr. Smith, I did not identify
Mr. Christianson in that particular document.
don't think that the government is fairly saying to the
court they had no notice that at least Smith was not
needed today.
         THE COURT: That doesn't matter at this point.
If you want to have questions and they're appropriate,
we'll find a way for you to do that.
         MR. WAX:
                  Thank you.
         THE COURT: No one is to blame.
         MR. WAX: In terms of the question that you
```

asked about search term, there is another name on the

```
1
    list that we need to discuss. And I believe that if we
2
    start to discuss it, that will lead to the same response
    that came in July when the Timimi name was mentioned,
3
 4
    which is that we can't have that discussion in open
    court. So my recall with respect to the Timimi question
5
 6
    that you asked is that that was stopped because we were
7
    in open court. And there is one other name that we need
    to inquire about that will probably lead to the same
8
    result.
9
10
             THE COURT: All right.
11
             MR. CARDANI: Judge, I just want to make sure
12
    that you clearly understand that that search term list
1.3
    that we talked about in court wasn't an exclusive list
14
    to use to search the computers. I don't want that --
15
    there to be a false illusion that we limited it to that
    search list.
16
17
             That was proffered to you as an indication that
18
    we reasonably attempted to confine the search of the
19
    computers --
20
             THE COURT: I understand that.
21
             MR. CARDANI:
                           Okay.
22
             THE COURT: I'm more interested in the source
    of it to Ms. Anderson.
23
24
             MR. CARDANI: Okay. Very good.
2.5
             THE COURT: And if we need to close the hearing
```

2

3

4

9

```
to do that, we can. That's really the only -- and if
    there is another name, fine. That's the one that I had
    a question about.
             MR. CARDANI: Okay. I think Mr. Gorder is
5
    prepared to handle that.
6
             MR. GORDER: Your Honor, with regard to the
7
    name Timimi, we have two points. One, we have pointed
8
    out time and time again that the defense has not pointed
    to a single government exhibit that we intend to
10
    introduce that has that term in it, which in a sense
11
    they're putting the horse before the -- cart before the
12
    horse.
1.3
             None of the exhibits the government intends to
    introduce at trial that came from the computer has
14
    Mr. Timimi's name in it.
15
16
             When Agent Carroll was testifying, he was asked
    why that term was in there. And he said he wasn't sure
17
    whether he could testify to that, whether it was
18
19
    classified or not.
20
             We filed, after the hearing, and it's CR 206,
21
    some exhibits of declassified FISA intercepts between
22
    Mr. Timimi and Mr. al-Buthe. And you have those
23
    exhibits in the record. Those are declassified now.
24
    And they were intercepted pursuant to FISA, and were
2.5
```

played at the trial of Mr. al-Timimi. To summarize

them, they were kind of high-fiving each other over the 1 2 crash of the Space Shuttle Columbia back in 2003. Mr. Timimi was writing a poem of some kind to glorify 3 this destruction of the United States space shuttle, and 4 he was running this poem by Mr. al-Buthe. That would be 5 reason enough to look for the term al-Timimi in the 6 7 computers. But the major point is that there is no exhibit 8 that we intend to introduce that comes from that search 9 10 term. 11 THE COURT: Those exhibits now have been filed 12 in our record? MR. GORDER: Yes. They are CR 206. 13 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 MR. WAX: Your Honor, with all respect, 16 Mr. Gorder's statement that they don't intend to offer 17 at trial anything with Timimi's name misses the issue 18 that is before the court today which is the Murray 19 issue. And whether or not the government intends to 20 offer anything with Timimi's name, and I will say these 21 other names because they are on the list that is not 22 classified, Sanad, and Enaam, and Abu Umar, the 23 provenance of those names is critical to the Murray 24 issue. And the information that we had previously 25 provided to the court about the surveillance of

al-Haramain from the mid 1990s on, the unlawful surveillance of al-Haramain, is now buttressed by the opinion that came down yesterday from Judge Walker, and all of the exhibits, which we were finally able to get out last night, which are all of the exhibits that he referenced in his opinion. Now, it seems to us that you now have before you one judicial finding and clear evidence that there --

THE COURT: Just to -- I don't mean to interrupt -- well, I do mean to interrupt you, but it's just to tell you that I haven't bothered printing out 800 pages of exhibits yet. Go ahead. So I haven't reviewed those. I haven't had time.

MR. WAX: Well, Judge Walker, as we saw it, did an excellent job of summarizing them, and we just wanted to complete the record by having those exhibits in front of you. But the point is that the record in this case shows now, including Judge Walker's finding, that there was a nationally coordinated attack on Islamic charities that was carried out through both lawful and unlawful means.

And there is evidence before you, including

Judge Walker's finding, that that nationally coordinated

attack included an attack on al-Haramain USA, which

is -- the principal of which is our client, the

defendant in this case, Pirouz Sedaghaty.

The relevance of the questioning about Timimi and the questioning that we believe we need to pursue with respect to Enaam, Sanad, and Abu Umar, is to complete the loop of the involvement of the people outside of Oregon who were engaged in unlawful activity in, as Justice Scalia said, the decision to undertake this investigation that's the subject matter of these hearings and that led to this indictment. And it's not clear to me and we can ask the court security officer whether I can go into, you know, anything more about Sanad in this setting. But that name -- well -
THE COURT: We're not going to ask him in court right now.

MR. WAX: But there is another point to be made. May I consult with him for a moment?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. WAX: Thank you.

(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. WAX: You may recall, Your Honor, that there is in the unclassified record of the case a summary of what was formerly classified material in which the name Sanad appears. And if I am recalling the sequencing correctly, that unclassified summary paragraph was produced after your review of material in

Washington, D.C.

2.5

How that name gets on to this search term list we believe is critical to development of the *Murray* aspect of the case.

THE COURT: Mr. Wax, are you seeking a fishing expedition based on a negative pregnant?

MR. WAX: No, Your Honor. We believe that there is clear evidence in the record that -- it's not "we believe." There is clear evidence in the record that the codefendant in this case and his U.S. lawyer were the victims of unlawful government activity.

There is clear evidence in this case that al-Haramain USA, again of which Mr. Sedaghaty was principal here in Ashland, was the victim of unlawful activity. That's not a fishing expedition. That's evidence.

We know from the production of an unclassified summary that there is classified evidence directly relevant to this case and this prosecution in which the name Sanad appears.

In addition on this search term list is the name Abu Umar, which, if I'm recalling correctly, is also in that unclassified paragraph. Now, that's not a fishing expedition. Because you have before you Judge Walker's findings, which fully corroborate what we had

2.5

previously given to you in several forms, including the declaration of Colonel Patrick Lang, the former director of Human Intelligence for the U.S. Department of Defense, that the al-Haramain organization here in the U.S. was subjected to continuous surveillance, lawful and unlawful, for a prolonged period. This isn't a fishing expedition. This is as clear evidence of unlawful activity against our client as one could hope to find.

From that, we need to be able to ask the next question, we're not fishing, it's the logical next question.

MR. CARDANI: Judge, on the Murray issue, I think that there really are two issues on the Murray question. The one is the affidavit and the search warrant that were used to get the computers. That has been fully briefed by the government in CR 192. And I think that why this really is, with due respect to Mr. Wax, why this is a fishing expedition is because the two people critical to that warrant are right before you in the court today, her and me. She has already entered an affidavit saying that she knew nothing about this program, whatever it is, whatever became of it, she knew nothing about it.

I knew nothing about it. To this day other

than what I read in the newspaper and in opinions, I know nothing about it.

So in terms of obtaining the warrant, the warrant is pure. It is completely devoid of anything that spilled out of whatever program there was.

She has testified that there is an independent basis for everything in the affidavit used to get that warrant, including the computers.

I was the supervisor of this. I worked very closely with her. I knew nothing about it.

Now, and what -- the -- what Murray requires is a showing that even if something illegal did occur prior to a warrant, if there is no revelation of the products of that illegal surveillance, or if it wasn't done because of that illegal surveillance, then there is no problem with the warrant despite the previously illegal activity.

Second, I think that what we're getting to here is a *Murray* issue with respect to the actual search of the computers, and did some illegal program result in a phone call to Agent Anderson saying, "hey, check this out, check that out." This is a fishing expedition.

She was the one who did -- or controlled the search. The names that have been brought up today, Enaam, Sanad, Arnout, she can testify that there were

reasonable reasons that have nothing to do with some illegal -- alleged illegal program why those were relevant to this investigation.

She knew nothing about this program. And these were independent terms that she came up with from different sources, and she can tell you about that if you choose to hear it, that led to her searching these computers. This is a fishing expedition. And I just urge the court not to allow this to become a free-for-all, especially in a classified setting where we're under limitations on what we can produce. We have to screen questions through FBI sources because this is a big deal and classified information is only shared on a need-to-know basis.

I mean, there is nothing more than I would like but to open up the files of the U.S. Attorney's Office and let these guys look at every shred of paper in this thing, full, open discovery, and to prevent a morass of motions. But we can't do that here. We do it in a lot of our cases because, you know, it doesn't involve classified evidence. But the rules are different in a case involving national security and classified evidence. That's why you have all of these FISA -- all of these CIPA pleadings that have been filed and why we're forced to play it a little closer to the vest.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
But she can testify, if you want to hear about those
search terms. And I think this will reveal it, for what
the court has said, a fishing expedition.
         THE COURT: Well, I probably do want to hear
       If you have something more to say, fine.
going to take a short recess. If you want to speak
before that, that's fine.
         MR. WAX: Thank you, Judge. I'll be brief.
For Mr. Cardani to say that he and Ms. Anderson knew
nothing about the TSP or PSP is not the relevant
question. What you have in front of you is the U.S.
government's acknowledgement that there was a
coordinated attack on Islamic charities, focused on
al-Haramain. You have before you the fact that this
investigation began -- and we gave you the first FBI 302
report with the case number of the 9/11 investigation.
We have Ms. Anderson admitting that there was
coordination with OFAC. We have Director Szubin's
declaration that OFAC is coordinating here.
         The question is: Did someone in Washington,
D.C. as part of this coordinated effort with respect to
Islamic charities prompt -- that's all that is required
under Murray -- did they -- did that prompt the decision
to proceed with this search warrant? And whether
Mr. Cardani and Ms. Anderson knew why there were -- they
```

```
1
    were being prompted is irrelevant. If they were pawns
2
    in someone else's game, that's irrelevant. If the U.S.
    government was making the decision at whatever level, go
3
    forth, guys, that's all we need to prove under Murray.
 4
             THE COURT: Okay. We'll take a short break.
 5
             (Recess: 10:20 until 10:23 a.m.)
 6
7
             THE COURT: Let's swear Ms. Anderson. Please,
8
    Counsel, don't go over what she has already testified
    about. All right.
9
10
             THE CLERK: Ms. Anderson, please step forward.
11
             (The witness was sworn.)
12
             THE CLERK: Please take the witness stand.
13
    Agent, can I have you please state your full name for
    the record, spelling your last name.
14
15
             THE WITNESS: Sure. Colleen Anderson,
16
    A-N-D-E-R-S-O-N.
17
             THE CLERK: Thank you.
18
             MR. GORDER: Your Honor, is it your intention
19
    just to have her testify about the three search terms I
20
    mentioned?
21
             THE COURT: Yeah, actually, there are four:
22
    Timimi, Enaam, Abu Umar, and Samon.
23
             MR. WAX: S-A-N-A-D, Sanad.
24
             THE COURT: Yeah, thank you. I heard it and I
25
    didn't look it up, Sanad.
```

MR. GORDER: Your Honor, with regard to the Sanad term, we may have some problems doing that in an open session. What is declassified and provided to the defense should be good enough, but I just want --

THE COURT: Go ahead with the other three then.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. GORDER:

- Q. Okay. Ms. Anderson, in your list of partial search terms, there is the name Enaam. And I may be mispronouncing that. Do you recall, from unclassified sources, why that name was relevant to you?
- A. Yes. Enaam, with the last of Arnout, was an individual that was under investigation for the -- what we call the BIF for grift, Benevolence International Foundation, I believe. He had been charged, I believe, with using charitable funds to purchase boots for the mujahideen. I believe he pled guilty. I'm not sure exactly what he pled guilty to. But there was an IRS agent on that case, and I cannot recall specifically if the IRS agent on that case told me that there might be a connection or if more than likely when I run the search name Chechnya, there was an Enaam Arnout letter found in the al-Haramain computers where someone within al-Haramain was attempting to contact him for information on how to get money or supplies and that

```
kind of thing into Chechnya.
             Okay. With regard to Timimi, do you recall at
    this point how that term got on the list?
             I'm sorry, what I recall with Timimi was that
       Α.
    he was also under investigation. And I can't remember
    if it was the Virginia jihad, exactly who he was tied
 6
    to, but he was under investigation also. And my
    understanding was that he had ties to al-Haramain and/or
    the defendant.
             And, finally, Abu Umar, what did you know about
       Ο.
    him or what do you know about him?
       Α.
             Well, that would be Abu Umar al-Saif. And I
13
    learned about him from our terrorism consultant, Evan
    Kohlmann, who specializes in Chechnya. And my
    understanding is Abu Umar al-Saif is the -- basically
15
16
    the Chechnyan religious leader for the mujahideen. He
17
    was with them fighting in Chechnya, and he blessed them,
    and was their -- basically the religious leader that
19
    they looked up to, the mujahideen.
             MR. GORDER: No further questions, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Cross.
22
                        CROSS-EXAMINATION
```

23 BY MR. WAX:

> Q. Ms. Anderson, when did you learn the name

25 Enaam?

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

18

20

21

24

```
A. Enaam, like I said, I'm not sure if I first learned of the name from the IRS agent working the case. Enaam Arnout, I believe, was one of the first cases I was aware of where an IRS agent was assisting in the investigation. So I may have learned it from her or I may have learned it from the search on the computer in which, again, if you take a look at the letter that went to Enaam Arnout from al-Haramain here in Ashland, Chechnya is on it, there is other search terms that came up.
```

- Q. Ms. Anderson, at the hearing last July, the court directed that we be provided copies of any notes that you had taken during any of your work on the computer searches. Did you take any notes at any time during your work with either Richard Smith, Jeremy Christianson, or any other computer person with whom you worked on this case?
- Mainly when -- when dealing with my computer people, I request them to do things either verbally or via e-mail, and then they conduct the search, and they let me know what the results are.

I don't recall taking any notes.

Q. Mr. Smith's report, which was made available to us after your testimony, states that he and you interacted on numerous occasions in the spring and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
summer of 2004, and that he was in your Medford office
on a number of occasions working directly with you and
showing you how to do things. You took no notes at any
time?
         MR. GORDER: Your Honor, what does this have to
do with the three search terms that she testified about?
         MR. WAX: If there are notes, perhaps they
would refresh her recollection since she has told us she
does not have a specific recollection.
         THE COURT: I think she's already answered the
question.
BY MR. WAX:
   Q.
         Did you make any notes on your computer as
opposed to handwritten notes?
         MR. GORDER: Same objection, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: Go ahead and answer.
         THE WITNESS: The only notes -- maybe I
misunderstood the question, but the only notes that I
can actually recall making was when Rick had come and
trained me on the ILook program, and then I'd have to
make notes as to how, you know, I get into the program
and that kind of thing, but I didn't keep those notes
because then we switched programs, and I think at some
point I was using FTK and that kind of thing.
BY MR. WAX:
```

```
1
             But no notes of any sort when -- if you learned
2
    of the name Enaam from another IRS agent, you didn't jot
    anything down?
3
       A. I didn't jot anything down? If I did, I didn't
 4
    keep them because it -- that doesn't -- I don't recall
5
 6
    that.
7
             MR. WAX: May I have a moment, please, Your
    Honor?
8
             THE COURT: Yes.
9
             (Discussion held off the record between
10
    co-counsel Mr. Matasar and Mr. Wax.)
11
12
             MR. WAX: Your Honor, I have a question about
13
    Timimi, which I think I should wait if we're going to go
    into classified session.
14
15
            THE COURT: Okay.
    BY MR. WAX:
16
17
       Q.
            If I understood your grand jury testimony
    correctly, during 2004, you were in frequent contact
18
    with Evan Kohlmann?
19
20
       A. During 2004? Well, I would say prior to
21
    execution of the search warrant, yes, I had contacted
22
    Evan Kohlmann to learn a little bit about Chechnya. I
23
    hate to say it, but I didn't know much about Chechnya,
24
    even where it was at, so I contacted somebody that could
25
    inform me about the country, its background, how long
```

```
1
    the fighting has been going on, that kind of thing, yes.
2
             How did you get steered to Evan Kohlmann as
    your source?
3
             MR. GORDER: Objection, Your Honor, again.
 4
             THE COURT: Sustained.
 5
    BY MR. WAX:
6
7
             With respect to the time in 2004, after the
       Q.
8
    execution of the search warrant, when you were getting
    information from Richard Smith and when you were working
9
    with Richard Smith, were you not in frequent contact
10
11
    with Mr. Kohlmann?
12
             MR. GORDER: Objection, Your Honor.
13
             THE COURT: Sustained.
14
    BY MR. WAX:
             When you were looking at the computer in 2004,
15
       Ο.
16
    do I understand correctly from your grand jury testimony
17
    that you would see things you wouldn't recognize or know
18
    who or what you were looking at, and you would then
19
    contact Mr. Kohlmann?
20
       Α.
             I don't --
21
             MR. GORDER: Objection, Your Honor. Again, I
    don't see the relevance to the list of search terms.
22
23
             THE COURT: Sustained.
             MR. WAX: Well, that doesn't necessarily go to
24
25
    the list of search terms, Your Honor, but it does go to
```

```
1
    the other issues in the case about which we inquired
2
    last July with respect to the general rummaging, and we
    did not have at that time the grand jury testimony or
3
    the other reports. So now that I have the grand jury
 4
    testimony, I think that it -- I should be permitted to
5
    pursue that line of inquiry further.
 6
7
             THE COURT: I don't have any more.
8
    objection is sustained.
             MR. WAX: Then I have no further questions.
9
10
             THE COURT: Thank you. Cross?
11
             MR. GORDER: No, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: We will go into a classified
13
    session. We'll do it in the jury room. The court
14
    security officer will ensure that everyone is cleared.
15
             MR. CARDANI: Very good, Your Honor.
    Ms. Anderson has indicated she needs --
16
17
             THE WITNESS: I believe I need to swear to my
18
    declaration.
19
             MR. CARDANI: Yeah. There is something else
20
    that I would like to take care of, housekeeping.
21
             THE COURT: All right.
22
             MR. CARDANI: It's after the suppression
23
    hearings, they filed a document, CR 230. We responded
24
    in CR 242. And this is important to us because this has
25
    been an allegation that she presented false testimony to
```

```
Anderson - X
```

```
1
    you during the suppression hearing.
2
             Special Agent Anderson prepared a new statement
    that is appended -- it is attached to CR 242. And I'd
3
    like to -- her to just adopt that. And if the court had
 4
    any specific questions about that, we'd like to offer
 5
    that as well.
 6
7
             MR. WAX: I object, Your Honor. If I'm not
8
    permitted to inquire about the search process, then I
    don't believe that she should be --
9
10
             THE COURT: Could I have the document? (Brief
11
    pause.)
12
             Well, I'm going to accept the declaration as
13
    evidence. You can cross-examine if you are -- on the
    issue of -- if you believe this witness submitted false
14
    testimony, you can cross-examine her about that, if
15
16
    that's the position you are taking.
17
             MR. WAX: Can I cross-examine about paragraphs
    6 through 12?
18
19
             THE COURT: You may cross-examine in an area
20
    that you believe indicates false testimony.
21
             Christy, you may return that.
22
             MR. WAX: Your Honor, the paragraphs 13 to the
23
    end deal with the question of the inconsistency in the
24
    timing.
25
             Paragraphs 6 through 12, as I'm reading them,
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
deal with the subject matter that was gone into at the
hearing, which was not an allegation of false testimony,
but was a question of --
         THE COURT: We have two separate matters.
First, are you taking the position that the witness
submitted false testimony?
         MR. WAX: What we said in our pleading was
there was inconsistency between the testimony and some
documents, whether or not that's false --
         THE COURT: You don't have any questions about
that?
         MR. WAX: I will ask a question or two about
that, but I am not making an allegation of false
testimony. We did not make an allegation of false
testimony. We pointed out an inconsistency in a written
document and oral testimony.
         THE COURT: That's just argument, that's fine.
         And, Mr. Cardani, anything on these other
paragraphs?
         MR. CARDANI: We filed this in response to a
post-hearing motion that they filed addressing some of
the issues that they brought up in the motion. I tender
that. If the court accepts that as her testimony and
wants to allow cross-examination on that, I quess I
don't have any objection, but I would urge the court if
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

when that occurred?

A. No, I do not.

```
it wants to hear this testimony to keep it constrained
and to prevent this from becoming, you know -- I don't
mean to besmirch Mr. Wax, but a free-for-all.
         THE COURT: Yeah, you can go ahead.
         MR. WAX: Thank you.
         THE WITNESS:
                      Excuse me, Your Honor. I think I
left my declaration up there. I'd like to have them.
         THE COURT: All right. Sure.
BY MR. WAX:
   Q.
        All right. Ms. Anderson, in the declaration in
paragraph 9, you state that later in 2004, Mr. Smith
recovered some limited evidentiary data, numerous photos
of Chechen war battle scenes. My question is this
first: When do you recall that happening?
         I believe the reason I referred to "later in
   Α.
2004" in my declaration was that I believe Mr. Smith
probably has that in his report, which I don't have in
front of me.
     You don't have a recollection of it then?
                                                   We'd
   Q.
need to get that from him?
   Α.
        Well, I believe you have his report. I gave it
to you in discovery.
       You don't have an independent recollection of
```

```
Q. Okay. Do you recall what battle type scenes you saw when he provided those to you?
```

- A. Specifically the ones I recall are the ones that I ended up using in the indictment, for the indictment during the grand jury, those are the only ones that I specifically recall.
- Q. Do you recall him providing you with photographs that you could not identify? You couldn't determine was this Chechnya? Was this Iraq? Was this Afghanistan, or something else?
- A. I do recall seeing some individuals that
  appeared to have been -- you know, obviously, military
  type of photo in which they are lined up, and they look
  like, you know, they were people of importance. So,
  yes, I do remember seeing some of those types of photos
  that I had questions about, yes.
  - Q. Questions because you didn't know who the people were or where they were from?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. With respect to photos of that nature, you sent some of those photos on to Evan Kohlmann?
- A. I did. And it's in my grand jury testimony. I asked Mr. Kohlmann to identify what then became the leaders of the mujahideen.
  - Q. But the question is, you received photographs

```
1
    from Smith?
2
       Α.
             Uh-huh.
             You didn't recognize who the people were in
3
       Q.
    some of them, or where the photographs were taken,
 4
    correct?
 5
             Correct. All I knew is that they were a
 6
       Α.
7
    military type of photo, but yet it didn't look like U.S.
8
    military or, you know, an actual country's military, so
    I had questions if this was the mujahideen.
9
10
       0.
             You then sent some of those photographs on to
    Evan Kohlmann and obtained identifications from him?
11
12
       Α.
             Yes.
13
             Thank you. Do you recall how many times you
14
    sent material on to Evan Kohlmann for similar types of
    identification?
15
16
             MR. CARDANI: Judge, I'm going to object.
17
    think we've covered this.
18
             THE COURT: Yeah, all of this is long after the
19
    search warrant, Mr. Wax.
20
             MR. WAX: Part of our complaint, Your Honor, is
21
    that the search of the computers was unlawful because it
22
    was not cabined either in time or scope. So I believe
23
    that this is directly relevant to one of the bases on
```

which we're seeking suppression of the fruits of the

searches of the computers.

24

```
1
             THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
2
    BY MR. WAX:
3
       Q. Now, Ms. Anderson, with respect to the last
    page of the declaration --
4
5
             THE COURT: You mean page 4?
             MR. WAX: Page 6.
 6
7
             THE COURT: Okay.
             THE WITNESS: I don't have a page 6.
8
             MR. WAX: In the document that was filed on
9
10
    December 11th, our copy has six pages.
11
             THE COURT: Page 5 has a signature.
             MR. CARDANI: I think they are talking about
12
13
    the attachment with the al-Haramain indicator on the
14
    bottom right.
15
             MR. WAX: Yes, that's correct.
             THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I didn't print that
16
17
    out.
18
             MR. CARDANI: May I approach the witness, Your
19
    Honor?
             THE COURT: Yes.
20
21
             MR. CARDANI: Does the court's copy have an
22
    attachment?
23
             THE COURT: Yeah, I have that.
24
             THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
    BY MR. WAX:
25
```

```
Q. Ms. Anderson, in the pleading that we filed on October 15th to which this declaration was in part responsive, we listed a long series of documents with a similar FPDUS number. First, can you tell the court what the FPDUS number means, if you know?
```

- A. I believe that that is the Bates numbering system that the scanning company in Portland used to scan the items.
- 9 Q. So you recall that at some point there was a
  10 joint agreement between the prosecution and defense to
  11 take a large volume of material that was in the
  12 possession of the government and have it scanned for our
  13 mutual use, and that this numbering system was something
  14 that we agreed on jointly to keep track of the
  15 documents?
  - A. I believe so.

- Q. And with respect to the pleading that we filed, your declaration indicates that you had an opportunity to look at it. Do you recall that we listed -- I don't recall how many -- but a long series of FPDUS numbers that appeared to have your signature on them or on the last page of them?
- A. Yes. I believe I saw that you had listed numerous FPDUS numbers on there.
  - Q. And so that this particular document that's

```
attached to the declaration is just an example of many
1
2
    such documents that were in that set of materials?
                   The ones that I saw were almost all bank
3
       Α.
             Yes.
    records. I'm not sure if there is any other types of
 4
    records that were included in there. I don't know that
5
    I went through each and every one. But the ones that I
 6
7
    saw and the one that's listed in my declaration is an
8
    example from one of the Bank of America statements,
    probably.
9
10
       Ο.
            Yes, okay. Now, in the fall of 2001, you
    are -- are you aware that a number of subpoenas were
11
12
    served on a number of different banking and perhaps
13
    other institutions seeking the production of records
    before a grand jury that had been convened to
14
    investigate al-Haramain and/or Mr. Sedaghaty?
15
16
             MR. CARDANI: I object to the relevance of
17
    this, Judge.
18
             THE COURT: Actually, I want to make sure I
19
    understand the question. Would you ask that again.
20
    BY MR. WAX:
21
             Are you aware that in the fall of 2001, a
       Q.
22
    series of subpoenas was issued at the behest of the
23
    grand jury that had been convened to investigate
24
    al-Haramain and/or Mr. Sedaghaty?
25
             MR. CARDANI: Judge, my objection is this:
```

```
1
    This has to do with an allegation that she presented
2
    false testimony by stating that she joined the
    investigation in early 2002, and then they take a bunch
3
    of documents that had already been subpoenaed as part of
 4
    the FBI's investigation and alleging that because those
5
    were received in 2001, that she must have presented
 6
7
    false testimony. This document was offered to show that
    that is not the case.
8
             I don't understand what the questioning has to
9
10
    do with all these other subpoenas, whether it's relevant
    to refuting the allegation that she's presented false
11
12
    testimony.
13
             THE COURT: Sustained.
             MR. WAX: I'm just trying to lay a foundation
14
    for how her signature gets on these, Judge, and what --
15
16
    I mean, you have the document in front of you. Right
    now I don't know that the record shows where this
17
    document came from and what it is.
18
19
             MR. CARDANI: That's --
20
             MR. WAX: And that's what I'm trying to
    establish.
21
22
             MR. CARDANI: I have no objection if you ask
23
    that of her.
24
             MR. WAX: That's what I'm trying to get to, but
25
    we need to--
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Just ask the question.
2
    BY MR. WAX:
             The document that is in front of you states on
3
       Q.
    the top line of the printed section at the bottom "copy
 4
    of records of al-Haramain," correct?
5
       Α.
             Uh-huh.
 6
7
             What is that referring to?
       Q.
             The al-Haramain bank account.
8
       Α.
             Records that were obtained by whom? From whom?
9
       Q.
10
    In what way?
             Well, if you look at the second line on the
11
       Α.
12
    stamp, it says "copy furnished by B of A." So these
13
    particular records were subpoenaed from Bank of America.
    And there are copies of records from the al-Haramain
14
    bank account, and the date that they were received by
15
16
    the investigation is November 19th. And the special
17
    agent is myself, because I have possession of those
18
    documents now, and I am taking inventory.
19
             This document is a document used by the FBI?
       Q.
20
    The IRS? Or by whom?
21
             Well, this little thing here is actually just a
       Α.
22
    little stamp, you know, you put it in the ink and you
23
    stamp it. And in -- I would say in the old days or a
    while back ago, it was customary, at least for me, and a
24
```

lot of the agents, to stamp documents, to put down when

25

the date that they were received, and from whom they were received, so that was my custom back then to do that.

Nowadays, a lot of times I'll just run a memorandum saying I received these types of documents. But back then, I just used to stamp them and put the date that they were received.

- Q. It is not the business practice to stamp the documents when they are, in fact, received from the party from whom they've been subpoenaed?
- A. I guess it would depend on who is doing the stamping. I don't know what the FBI's business practice is. And, again, with the IRS, the way I do it may not be necessarily the way another agent does it. Like I said, nowadays if I were to get records, I will create a memorandum saying that I received the records from so-and-so on this day. So nowadays, I don't usually stamp, no.
- Q. Did you take any notes or produce any reports with respect to the work that you did in obtaining or reviewing records such as those that are listed in our pleading of October 15th?
- A. I think what you are asking me is when going through these, did I create a report saying that I'm going through these records?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Did you create a report that says "I reviewed,"
"I obtained from the FBI," "I obtained from the Bank of
America," whatever it is, did you create any report or
write any notes documenting when you actually took
possession of or received the documents that are
referred to in the pieces of paper such as page 6 of the
declaration?
         No, I don't make a notation when I join an
   Α.
investigation, I don't make a notation of when I
received records from another agency, no. I mean, we're
all part of the same investigation. At the point that I
join the investigation, I am there to take over the
financials, and I just say, "hey, can I have the
financials?" I'm going to do an inventory. I'm going
to find out what's missing. I'll contact the bank.
whatever -- you know, that type of thing that's missing.
Sometimes on the computer, I'll take notes as to the
missing items, and then fax that to the bank and say,
"okay, this is what's missing, may I please have it?"
But those aren't really just notes. Just kind of my --
```

MR. WAX: I have nothing further.

MR. CARDANI: No questions.

THE COURT: You may step down.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: We'll take the classified portion

```
1
    in the jury room. And, Scooter, if you can help us make
2
    sure we've got the right people in there.
             (The open court proceedings were adjourned from
 3
    10:57 until 11:13 a.m.)
 4
             MR. CARDANI: Judge, a couple of things.
 5
             THE COURT: Okay.
 6
7
             MR. CARDANI: The computer search, when CDT
8
    came out, I stopped the investigation of the computers
    out of an abundance of caution, and that has to this day
9
10
    been the case.
11
             Documents have been coming in now from the
12
    defense on exhibits that they plan on using from those
13
    very computers. We want to dig back into those
14
    computers and resume our search to verify that they came
    out of the computers and things of the like.
15
16
             So we would like -- if the court is planning on
17
    issuing a ruling, we would like acquiescence from the
18
    court that we can resume that search. And we would ask
19
    that that be done sooner rather than later, if possible.
20
             THE COURT: I don't consider that case
21
    retroactive. And you will get a ruling right away on
22
    these motions now.
23
             MR. CARDANI: Thank you.
24
             Defense expert reports, I understand from
25
    Mr. Wax and Mr. Matasar now they've named a number of
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
experts on their witness list. We don't have expert
reports. And we would just ask that they be provided to
us, again as soon as possible.
         MR. WAX: As soon as we have them, they'll be
provided.
         MR. CARDANI:
                       There is an issue that I want to
flag for the court and I hope we can resolve this, but I
want to bring it to your attention because it could be
highly problematic if it can't be resolved. Several of
our exhibits, starting with this -- the letters AHIF,
al-Haramain, are documents that we obtained after
serving a subpoena for the books and records of
al-Haramain in Ashland. Colleen Anderson served it on
some female employees of al-Haramain. Mr. Sedaghaty was
out of the country. I then got a call from Mr. Matasar,
who said he represented Mr. Sedaghaty and al-Haramain,
and was going to handle return -- handle the subpoena as
well. We did that. And we allowed Mr. Matasar to
collect the records and present them to Colleen Anderson
without having to appear before the grand jury and
testify as to their authenticity and things of the like.
It's a very customary procedure. It's a courtesy we
extend to lawyers all the time.
         We need to get those records into evidence.
```

And so we don't want to make Mr. Matasar a witness in

```
1
    any way, shape, or form, in this trial, but we need a
2
    stipulation from the defense that allows us to get those
    records authenticated in a way that comports with the
3
    rules of evidence.
 4
             So I've raised this with Mr. Matasar and
 5
    Mr. Wax. We've proffered to them a stipulation that we
 6
7
    need. And the conversation Mr. Wax and I were just
8
    having was that we need to meet, apparently, and there
    is a possibility we can work something out.
9
10
             If we can't, we're going to need to be heard by
11
    the court to deal with this matter.
12
             THE COURT: I'll bring you in quickly if we
13
    need to do it.
             MR. CARDANI: The last matter that I had to
14
15
    raise with you is that we want to get an extension of
16
    time to file motions in limine and to object to each
    others' exhibits; is that correct?
17
18
             MR. WAX: (Nodding head.)
19
             MR. CARDANI: And the proposal is how long?
20
             MR. WAX: Several weeks, I think. Yeah.
21
    Judge, we see that the government's request for a
22
    stipulation on the AHIF records relates to a larger
23
    question of the admissibility of many of the other
24
    documents the government has included, and they may have
25
    issues with respect to some of the documents we
```

```
1
    included. We thought that it might make more sense for
2
    us to see if we can work out something on at least some
    of the exhibits before filing motions in limine and see
3
    if we can pair it down. And we're thinking if we could
 4
    try to get together perhaps the middle or the end of
5
    next week, get the motions to the court with the
6
7
    portions that are needed, perhaps two weeks from the
    5th, if that will be --
8
             THE COURT: Mr. Baker, does that foul our
9
10
    schedule up?
11
             (Discussion held off the record.)
12
             MR. CARDANI: If it's okay with the court, what
13
    I'm understanding we're agreeing to is the extension of
    time to file objections to exhibits and for motions in
14
    limine to be filed, which is presently set for Monday,
15
    April 5th; and the proposal is to extend that to Monday,
16
    April 19th.
17
18
             MR. WAX: If that's agreeable with the court.
19
             THE COURT: Yes.
20
             MR. CARDANI: And then the responses were due
21
    two weeks later. Will those be backed up by two weeks
22
    as well?
23
             THE COURT: Yes.
24
             MR. CARDANI: To May 3rd. And then our only
25
    issue is, of course, what the court just noted, and
```

```
1
    that's the overall schedule in the case.
2
             THE COURT: That's what I'm concerned about.
             MR. CARDANI: This could hugely impact things
3
    like people coming over from Russia and the
4
5
    admissibility of a big chunk of the government's case,
    so we're concerned about the scheduling, but we'll
 6
7
    accede to them.
             (Discussion held off the record.)
8
             MR. CARDANI: Judge, what we just talked about
9
10
    is if we could say that we'll agree to a one-week
11
    turnaround on the exhibits -- I'm sorry, on these
12
    motions in limine and the objections. So those were due
13
    on April 19th.
             MR. WAX: Turn around to the 26th.
14
15
             MR. CARDANI: Monday the 26th.
16
             THE COURT: Response by the 26th.
17
             MR. WAX: Could we do the 27th, Judge?
18
    will just give us one extra day, if that works in terms
19
    of -- does that work?
20
             THE COURT: We don't have the option of putting
21
    on extra staff like you do.
22
             MR. MATASAR: Then we can have the replies
23
    due --
24
             THE COURT: David, can we handle this or not?
25
             MR. BAKER: What is the hearing date?
```

```
1
             THE COURT: The hearing date, I don't know.
2
             MR. WAX: We'll file pleadings on the 19th, and
    then any -- what should be the last pleading on the --
3
    Tuesday, the 27th.
 4
             THE COURT: Do we have a hearing date for those
 5
    motions?
6
7
             MR. BAKER: We have set a hearing date.
8
             MR. GORDER: Yes, Your Honor, the hearing date
    is May 10th.
9
10
             THE COURT: That's fine.
11
             MR. WAX: Thank you.
12
             MR. CARDANI: Now, we've got another issue and
13
    that's the Daubert, all kinds of experts. And if we
14
    don't have expert reports, we can't prepare for a
    Daubert hearing. So all of our reports for the experts
15
16
    are in their possession. They've been there for a
17
    while. But we can't do our work unless we have the
18
    expert report.
19
             MR. WAX: We are working with them as rapidly
20
    as we can. And we can probably get you -- sit down and
    give you some informal additional input today when we're
21
22
    done here, if you would like.
             We're anticipating at this point, Judge, one
23
24
    Daubert challenge to the government's experts, and
25
    that's on Evan Kohlmann.
```

And in terms of the experts we've identified, I mean, we have a CPA, who's the forensic C -- well, we can go into that later. We don't need to take up your time.

THE COURT: If it looks like we're running into a time problem, let me know. Because if we have any hearings on *Daubert* issues, those are going to happen before the trial.

MR. WAX: Yeah.

2.5

MR. CARDANI: Which is on June 7th.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. WAX: That's the date we're putting on our subpoenas.

Judge, one other comment with respect to the Matasar-as-a-potential-witness issue. We have told the government that we will not be raising any chain of custody issues with respect to the documents that were provided in response to the subpoena.

The issue that we see is Mr. Matasar is not in a position to testify about authenticity or anything else that would render them relevant. So I just want to be clear that the government understands that it's not an issue about where they came from through Mr. Matasar. The question is under the evidence rules. And we have, I think, now covered everything that we needed to

```
1
    bring -- no.
2
                           There is two things.
                                                  There is --
             MR. MATASAR:
             THE COURT: You have five minutes left,
 3
    Mr. Wax.
4
             MR. MATASAR: We're well within the five
 5
 6
    minutes.
7
             MR. WAX:
                       Are we going to have further
8
    testimony from Mr. Smith on the computer search issues?
             THE COURT: You can give me a letter by
9
10
    tomorrow describing what you want to ask, and you can
11
    give me a response by Monday, your response to that.
                                                           Ιf
12
    we have further testimony, it will be next week.
13
             MR. WAX:
                       Thank you.
             MR. MATASAR: I am a bad client, Your Honor, in
14
15
    the sense that I have told Mr. Cardani that I didn't
16
    want to deal that much myself with the issue of me being
17
    a witness, because I take it very seriously when one
18
    lawyer on one side asks the lawyer -- tells the lawyer
19
    on the other side that he or she may be a witness in a
20
    trial, but I see -- I'd like to get this somehow, if we
21
    could, just off the table.
22
             A lawyer who provides records pursuant to a
23
    subpoena cannot be, as I see it, a witness to
24
    authenticate the records under the hearsay exception.
25
    So I'm just not sure why I -- why this is out there.
```

```
1
    And it would ease my mind greatly and ease our
2
    preparation greatly, if somehow we could get some
    clarity sooner rather than later rather than leave it be
3
    hanging out that I may be a witness at the trial, which
 4
    I really would prefer not to be, even if I could be, I
5
    don't know what that means about my role in the case,
 6
7
    et cetera.
8
             MR. CARDANI: No one wants to call Larry
    Matasar as a witness. He's not on our witness list.
9
10
    The problem is we've got to get exhibits in. And the
11
    ordinary way we would have done this if we knew it might
12
    be a problem is to have a custodian of record show up at
13
    the grand jury and be the authentication witness for
14
    trial. We gave a professional courtesy, as we always do
    to Mr. Matasar, to give us the records --
15
16
             THE COURT: Either work out authenticity or
17
    designate the custodian, please.
18
                           That's fine.
             MR. CARDANI:
19
             MR. WAX: We will be discussing those issues --
20
             THE COURT:
                         There is a third option, and it's
21
    not what Mr. Matasar wants, nor do I.
22
                      I think, Judge, that the suggestion
             MR. WAX:
23
    that we designate a custodian may be an impossibility.
24
             THE COURT: You work on that.
2.5
             MR. WAX: So that's why Mr. Cardani is looking
```

```
1
    to Mr. Matasar because it's the government that has the
2
    problem here, and they are attempting to solve their
3
    problem through Mr. Matasar, which we think is
    inappropriate.
4
             MR. MATASAR: Even if I weren't a lawyer, how
5
6
    can I authenticate that business records were kept in
7
    the ordinary course of business three years before I
    knew anything about the case? I don't think I could be
8
    a witness.
9
10
             THE COURT: You're just lucky you can argue
11
    about it. When Mr. Ransom subpoenaed me years ago,
12
    asked why he couldn't find any search warrants in the
13
    clerk's office that I had not issued, and there was a
    reason for that, of course, I say "it's not good enough,
14
15
    take it away." We're in recess.
16
             (The proceedings were concluded at 11:26 a.m.)
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE

I, Deborah Wilhelm, Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of Oregon, do hereby certify that I was present at and reported in machine shorthand the oral proceedings had in the above-entitled matter. I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my skill and ability, dated this 1st day of April, 2010.

/s/ Deborah Wilhelm

Deborah Wilhelm, RPR Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 00-0363